### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 440 465 , EA 030 380

AUTHOR Schleisman, Jane

TITLE An In-Depth Investigation of One School District's Responses

to an Externally-Mandated, High-Stakes Testing Program in

Minnesota.

PUB DATE 1999-10-29

NOTE 24p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

University Council for Educational Administration

(Minneapolis, MN, October 28-31, 1999).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Accountability; Educational Change; Elementary Secondary

Education; Evaluation Needs; \*Evaluation Utilization; \*High Stakes Tests; Program Descriptions; \*Standardized Tests;

State Action; \*State Programs; \*Test Use

IDENTIFIERS \*Minnesota Basic Standards Tests

### ABSTRACT

This report explores changes in school policies and practices resulting from an externally mandated, high-stakes testing program. It is based on a study that investigated one Minnesota school district's transformation following the passage of a 1993 law mandating a results-oriented graduation rule. The paper outlines the role of testing programs and the accountability function of externally mandated, high-stakes testing. It states that the reliance on accountability stems in part from the belief that high-stakes testing is necessary to stimulate teachers, students, and administrators. The text details the background of assessment-based educational accountability systems and lists some common features of assessment-based educational accountability theories of action. It reports a study where stakeholders were interviewed using an open ended, semi-structured protocol. The questions focused on interviewees' perception of how the district or schools had changed since the introduction of the Minnesota Basic Standards Test (MBST), the current and/or future responses made as a result of the MBST, and needs at the building and/or district level. Results show that educators felt that a positive aspect of the testing policy was that it highlighted the needs of some students who otherwise may have slipped through the cracks. Five tables provide symopses of schools' responses to MBST. (RJM)

# An In-Depth Investigation of One School District's Responses to an Externally-Mandated, High-Stakes Testing Program in Minnesota

Jane Schleisman

University of Minnesota

Paper presented at the 1999 annual conference of the University Council of Educational Administration, Minneapolis, Minnesota

Friday, October 29, 1999

US DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION EDICATION (EDICATIONAL RESOURCES INTERMEDIAL).

This document has been reported to a suppose to the popular secretary proposed to the popular secretary proposed to the popular secretary proposed.

Misor change, have been producto-improve retroduction quality.

Points, all clear or opinions, stated as the document densities, escaphy represent official OFBLIS salary or parcy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

J. Schleisman

the control of the co

# An In-Depth Investigation of One School District's Responses to an Externally-Mandated, High-Stakes Testing Program in Minnesota

Externally-mandated, high-stakes tests affect all aspects of schooling. But, although all aspects of the system are affected, most empirical research has concentrated on the impact of these testing programs at the classroom-, teacher-, or student-level (Schleisman, 1998). What research has not done, however, is examine the structural responses of schools to an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing program (at least not in any depth). By structural responses, I mean school-level policies and practices, such as changes in course offerings, changes in school-day or school-year organization, or changes in access to remedial help, which might include additional schooling opportunities such as after school programs, Saturday school, or summer school programs.

Thus, at the level of school policies and practices, the literature is lacking. Although educators have acknowledged that changes to school policies and practices occur as a result of externally-mandated, highstakes testing programs, an in-depth examination of what specifically these changes are has not been conducted. This study investigated one school district's changes in policies and practices (at the school-level and district-level) as a result of the implementation of an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing program in the state of Minnesota.

### The State Context

In 1993, the Minnesota state legislature passed a law requiring the State Board of Education and the Department of Children, Families, and Learning (DCFL) to develop a results-oriented Graduation Rule. One component of the Graduation Rule focuses on basic standards, which define the minimum competency in reading, mathematics, and writing that a student must possess before graduating from a Minnesota public high school. [Note that the writing assessment will not be considered here, because the writing assessment is not administered as part of the MBST and it was initially implemented just last year.] The basic standards are measured by the administration of the Minnesota Basic Standards Test (MBST). The MBST is a minimum competency, high-stakes test. Students are administered the MBST beginning in 8th grade, and all students must pass the MBST before they can graduate.

The metoric around the adoption of this statewide testing program is that no child will "slip through the cracks." No longer will students be able to earn a high school diploma simply by attending classes for four years, and without being able to read, write, and calculate basic math problems. Proponents of this externally-mandated, high-stakes testing program in Minnesota claim that the Graduation Rule will insure that every student will graduate with a minimum competency of knowledge in three core areas (reading, math, writing).

For children who have trouble passing the MBST, policymakers claim that "local districts offer a variety of services to students who do not pass the tests" (http://www.educ.state.mn.us). Using test scores, educators will make changes in order for students to achieve success. Policymakers purport that "the results of these tests can help school districts make decisions about what and how they teach" (http://www.educ.state.mn.us).

Part of the legislation for the Graduation Rule states that the results of the MBST be published, which adds an accountability component to the Graduation Rule. Subd. 3 of Statute 121.1113 states that "the commissioner shall report test data publicly and to stakeholders" (http://www.educ.state.mn.us). According to information provided on the DCFL website, the testing results are used in the following ways:

- They measure the success of schools and districts in improving student achievement over time.
- They generate information for school improvement and accountability.
- They allow for identification of programming and strategies that work.
- · They allow for comparison of schools and districts in Minnesota.

Because Minnesota schools are now more accountable to the public, they must find ways for students to succeed. The premise of the accountability movement is that if you hold people accountable, they will change their practices and policies in order to improve outcomes. Before discussing the specifics of this study, however, I will provide some background information regarding externally-mandated, high-stakes tests and educational accountability policies.

# Background

Testing is sacrosanct in American education, at least for policymakers, "The caveats and reservations of many psychometricians have not been able to reduce the importance of the role assigned to testing by legislators and state board members..." (Madaus, 1985b, p. 611). The American public and policymakers see "...testing as an objective, scientific, and technological totem" (Madaus, 1985b, p. 615), and they do not question the use of testing "as an administrative mechanism to implement policy" (Madaus, 1985a, p. 5; see also Airasian, 1987; Madaus, 1985b).

The role of testing programs has changed, however, since the late 1960s. No longer are testing programs used only for classroom-level diagnosis or even district-wide informational purposes; rather, standardized tests are increasingly being used in state or national policy "for lobbying within the sphere of policy making, rather than within the sphere of pedagogical practice" (Madaus, 1985b, p. 614). Madaus (1985b) states that "early tests were designed not to certify individuals or to make comparisons among school districts but to predict and select within local districts and schools, to identify individual learning needs, to group youngsters, and to compare local performance with national norms" (p. 612). Starting as early as the late 1960s, however, testing began to be used for a variety of policy-oriented purposes (Airasian & Madaus, 1983; Baker, 1989; Cibulka, 1990; Madaus, 1985b; McDonnell, 1994a; Rothman, 1995), such as: "assessing educational equity; providing evidence on school and program effectiveness; allocating compensatory funds to school districts; evaluating teacher effectiveness; accrediting school districts; classifying students for remediation; and certifying successful completion of high school or a given grade of elementary school" (Airasian & Madaus, 1983, p. 103; see also Rothman, 1995). [For a more detailed analysis of the historical reasons for why this shift in assessment policy occurred, see Madaus (1985b), Madaus and Kellaghan (1992), Perrone (1979), Rothman (1995), or Resnick (1980).]

Note that this shift in the way tests are used has also resulted in a shift over who controls the testing program. Although many schools may still have internal testing programs (i.e., testing programs that are mandated by the school principal or the district superintendent), just as many schools are also subject to externallymandated testing programs. Madaus (1988) defines external testing programs as testing programs that are controlled by and/or mandated by outside authorities, such as state legislatures or state departments of education. Another common feature of externally-mandated testing programs is that important decisions are made based on the results of these tests, making these tests "high-stakes" (Woolfolk, 1993; see also Cohen & Spillane, 1992; Corbett & Wilson, 1991). Note that "a test can be considered high stakes if the results of the test have perceived or real consequences for students, staff, or schools" (Langenfeld, Thurlow, & Scott, 1997. p. 1; see also Corbett & Wilson, 1991; Madaus, 1988; Madaus & Kellaghan, 1992). For example, test scores are used to track students into ability groups; to determine whether a child is ready to start school; to decide who should be promoted or retained in a grade or can graduate from high school; and to compare the quality of education in the U.S. versus another country or the quality of education among states or school districts (Darling-Hammond, 1991, 1995; Madaus & Pullin, 1991; National Commission on Testing and Public Policy, 1990; Neill & Medina, 1989; Nelson, Carlson, & Palonsky, 1996; Woolfolk, 1993).

# The Accountability Function of Externally-Mandated, High-Stakes Testing Programs

McDonnell (1994a) argues that these externally-mandated, high-stakes testing programs serve an accountability (or regulatory) function. Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, the public voiced concern over accountability issues (i.e., the public wanted to know whether they were getting their money's worth from public education and that certain standards were being upheld). In order to meet these public demands for accountability, policymakers looked to standardized tests as one way to implement accountability policies.

The accountability function of assessment policy includes using tests (1) to demonstrate that students have attained an agreed-upon level of knowledge or mastered a set of standards (e.g., certification tests); or (2) to hold schools, teachers, and administrators accountable to the public for student performance on the test. Accountability is defined as "the systematic collection, analysis and use of information to hold schools, educators and others responsible for student performance" (Education Commission of the States, 1998, p. v; see also Bernauer & Cress, 1997). The underlying assumptions of the accountability function are as follows:

Schools should be held accountable to the public, and rewards and sanctions are a mechanism for ensuring greater accountability. Improved student achievement is a critical component of accountability. Assessments can measure achievement consistent with public expectations, provide a guide for how teaching should change, and serve as the basis for distributing rewards and sanctions. Material incentives will motivate educators to change their teaching to be consistent with the assessment. Greater accountability will lead to changed teaching and, hence, to improved student achievement. (McDonnell, 1994a, p. 412)

Thus, the reliance on the accountability function of assessment policy stems in part from the belief of many policymakers and proponents of high-stakes testing that rewards, sanctions, or some type of consequence are necessary to stimulate action or motivation on the part of students, teachers, administrators, or schools (McLaughlin, 1991). In terms of students, for example, Madaus (1991; see also 1985b) states that "fear of a low score on a certification test is intended to motivate a target population of lazy, recalcitrant, or otherwise unmotivated students to work hard" (p. 228).

In terms of schools, the organizational theorist Mintzberg (1983, as cited in Corbett & Wilson, 1991) claims that organizations often turn to an outcome-oriented framework to stimulate action or change within the organization. Externally-mandated testing programs have been instituted to "generate school reform activity at the local level. By holding local educators accountable for clearly defined student outcomes, the expressed hope is that school district operations will change and become associated with ever-improving student learning" (Corbett & Wilson, 1991, p. 1). In essence, policymakers want instruction to improve at the local level, but they have no direct way of influencing what happens in the classroom. Thus, one way that policymakers and other stakeholders influence the educational system is by adopting externally-mandated, high-stakes testing programs that are coupled with rewards or sanctions (Haertel, 1989; Madaus, 1985b; Madaus & Kellaghan, 1992).

### Translating the Premise of the Accountability Movement Into a Theory of Action

One can translate the "premise of the accountability movement" into a model of what people (policymakers, the general public, and so forth) believe will happen when an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing policy is adopted. In the evaluation literature, these types of models have been called the program's (or policy's, in this case) theory of action (Patton, 1997; Weiss, 1997).

A theory of action may be implicit (assumed) or explicit (specifically stated). This theory of action identifies a sequence of events and the anticipated effect. Patton (1997) defines a theory of action as "the full chain of objectives that links inputs to activities, activities to immediate outputs, immediate outputs to intermediate outcomes, and intermediate outcomes to ultimate goals" (p. 218); that is, it is "a means-ends hierarchical chain of objectives" (p. 153). The theory of action specifies the series of assumptions that underlie a program or policy in terms of the causal mechanisms that explain how a policy is intended to work. Every step of the theory of action provides an opportunity for evaluation. However, before specifying a theory of action for this study, I will present some of the assumptions commonly held for educational accountability systems--specifically, assessment-based educational accountability systems.

Background on assessment-based educational accountability systems. Policymakers and proponents of testing programs use a number of interrelated arguments for instituting assessment policies; these arguments form the basis for developing the theory of action. The following statement by Lieberman (1991; see also Linn, 1993) touches on several of these reasons (note that although Lieberman is addressing the proposal of a national test put forth in the early 1990s, similar arguments are used by states when instituting externallymandated, high-stakes assessment policies);

Underlying the proposal for national testing are the assumptions that uniform tests will improve the education system as a whole, that instruction will necessarily improve as a result, and that teachers and students will benefit. The tests are supposed to measure the most important outcomes of schoolingthose for which the education system should be held accountable—while providing direction and motivation for teachers and students. They will become the standard by which the public can measure success or failure. (p. 219)

By dissecting the above quote, one can see that testing policy is being advocated as a source of motivation for teachers and students, as an accountability mechanism to the public, as a way to determine desirable outcomes of schooling, as a way to demonstrate that students have attained an agreed upon level of knowledge or mastered a set of standards, and so forth. Note that a particular educational accountability system may serve one or several of the purposes listed above (Claycomb, Kysilko, & Roach, 1997); however, "in a standards-based accountability system, the most obvious purpose is to monitor, evaluate and publicly report the progress of students, schools and districts toward achievement of content standards and other established goals" (Education Commission of the States, 1998, p. 10, italics in original).

By imposing some consequence in connection with test results, the theory is that attention to the externally mandated standards will increase, ineffective practices will be identified and rectified, and student achievement will increase (Stecher, Barron, Kaganoff, & Goodwin, 1998; see also Rothman, 1995). The test is seen as the impetus for change, and schools can (and must, because of the accountability component) accept the challenge to use the test as an impetus for change in order to improve learning (and outcomes). The hope is that the likelihood of good practices occurring for students will increase and the likelihood of harmful practices for students will decrease.

Some examples. Stecher & Barron (1999) propose a model (or theory of action) of a test-based state accountability system. In their model, the state testing policy, which includes a testing program component and a standards component, is hypothesized to impact student outcomes (i.e., their knowledge, skills, and attitudes), classroom practices (such as student grouping, instruction techniques, and curricular decisions), and school policies (such as selection of curriculum, professional development). They explain that:

Schools provide the educational services that help students achieve the desired goals. School administrators set local policies and teachers implement specific classroom practices to promote student achievement. As a result of their classroom experiences, students acquire knowledge, master skills and develop attitudes toward learning. These student outcomes are compared to the standards [set by the state testing policy-in Minnesota's case, minimum competency in math and reading] to determine whether schools have been successful. Information about school performance is reported to the schools and to the general public. Schools enact changes based on these reports to improve the services they provide and enhance student outcomes. (no pages given)

Chapman (November 10, 1998, personal discussion) outlined another theory of action that could be associated with the high-stakes testing, accountability movement. In his theory, the ultimate goal is improved student performance, and policymakers (and office stakeholders) believe that adopting an externally-manuated, mymstakes testing policy will stimulate certain actions on the part of schools, educators, and the public. For evample the testing policy will lead to a change in (1) what is taught in school (2) the instructional strategies used, (3) the motivation level of students and teachers, and (4) the public's attention to educational matters.

Common features of assessment-based educational accountability theories of action. The theories of action associated with the assessment-dased educational accodinability movement have several realures in common: (1) a nurnose(s) or ultimate goal(s): (2) an intervention: and (3) hypothesized means for achieving that goal (i.e., the processes and activities that are theorized to occur as a result of the intervention, which

should then lead to the ultimate goal). Common goals include increasing student achievement (or some variation on that theme, such as improving student outcomes) and holding schools and districts accountable. In these models, adopting an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing policy is seen as the "intervention" that will stimulate people to do what is needed (i.e., the hypothesized processes and activities) to achieve the ultimate goal(s).

# The Proposed Model

As mentioned above, one component of Minnesota's results-oriented graduation rule focuses on basic standards, which define the minimum competency in reading and mathematics that a student must possess before graduating from a Minnesota public high school. The purpose (or ultimate) goal of the minimum competency requirement is twofold; (1) to hold students, schools, and districts accountable; and (2) to improve student achievement (at least to some minimum level) in the areas of reading and math. The "intervention" is the minimum competency testing policy [the status of goal achievement will be measured by performance on the Minnesota Basic Standards Test (MBST)].

Although there are a variety of levels at which the above model could be developed, what was of interest in this study was the link between Minnesota's externally-mandated, high-stakes testing policy and improved student achievement; that is, what would happen at the school- and district-level as a result of this policy that would lead to the ultimate goal of improved student achievement (as measured by test performance on the MBST)? [Note that one could also develop the model at the state-level, the classroom-level, the student-level, and so forth. One could also look at inputs or outcomes rather than processes (i.e., activities or actions).] The list of activities (processes) that the "intervention" is hypothesized to stimulate was developed based on a review of the literature (Claycomb, Kysilko, & Roach, 1997; College of Education and Human Development. 1996: Education Commission of the States, 1998; Madaus, 1985b; McDonnell, 1994b; Popham, 1987; Stecher, Barron, Kaganoff, & Goodwin, 1998); however, because I conducted a qualitative study using a semistructured interview protocol (described below), the "discovery" of additional processes to add to the model is also possible.

Figure 1 shows the theory of action that was developed for this study, which examined the adoption and implementation of the testing policy at the school- and district-level.

# Insert Figure 1 here

In this model, it is hypothesized that in order to meet the ultimate goal of improved student achievement in the areas of reading and math, the following things will happen as a result of the testing policy; that is, the adoption and implementation of the testing policy will:

- help schools identify students who may otherwise have "slipped through the cracks";
- force schools to offer a variety of services for students who need remedial work (i.e., they are at-risk of not passing or have not passed the MBST);
- bring greater curricular coherence to the system; and
- provide information for program/school improvement.

### Study Rationale and Research Question

This theory of action articulates what people expect will happen as a result of adopting an externallymandated, high-stakes testing program; however, the Summer 1998 issue of Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice highlights the importance and necessity of documenting what actually happens as a result of the adoption of an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing program (e.g., Yen, 1998). The goal of carefully documenting what happens in schools as a result of the adoption of a new testing program "is to develop specific concrete examples that will enhance our understanding about the ways in which tests can and do work

in local contexts and about the potential slippage between what we well-meaningly intend and what we in fact effect" (Moss, 1998, p. 11).

This study investigated the school-level and district-level changes in policies and practices as a result of the adoption and implementation of an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing program in the state of Minnesota. The primary research question was the following: "What are the school-level and district-level responses to the implementation of an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing program in the state of Minnesota?" Fitting the information obtained in the study into the theory of action proposed in Figure 1 allows us to investigate whether the assumptions about what will occur in schools and districts are warranted.

# Methodology

Although the main purpose of this study was to provide an in-depth look at one school district's responses to an externally-mandated, high-stakes testing policy, this study also served as a pilot study to help determine the most efficient means of gathering similar information from schools throughout the state of Minnesota (still to be determined). Thus, the information presented in this paper comes from only one school district. An advantage of using only one school district is that the context in which change is occurring at the school-level will be more consistent than if I had sampled school sites from a variety of school districts.

### The Site Context

The school district used for this study serves several communities and is considered a suburban school district in the state of Minnesota. The district serves approximately 14,000 students, and has 3 high schools, 4 middle schools, and 12 elementary schools. Note, however, that this study focused on middle schools and high schools only. Because the MBST is first administered to students in 8th grade, the middle schools and high schools would be most likely to change in response to the MBST (at least in the first years of implementation of this new testing policy).

### The Interview Instrument and Respondent Information

In order to gain an in-depth understanding of this district's responses to the MBST, a qualitative methodology was used. Qualitative methods provide a rich, holistic description and analysis of a phenomenon. Interviews were chosen as the data collection method because they are useful for obtaining information that cannot be directly observed and for finding out "what is 'in and on someone's mind" (Merriam, 1988, p. 72). Because I did not want to limit the production of ideas from the interviewees, an open-ended, semi-structured interview protocol was used. Patton (1987) states that "the fundamental principle of qualitative interviewing is to provide a framework within which respondents can express their own understandings in their own terms" (p. 142). Also, Patton (1987) states that "interviews are a source of meaning and elaboration for program observations" (p. 109), which is precisely the type of information necessary for this study.

The sample was purposive and included key people at both the district- and school-level. Key people were those people who could provide detailed information about the school-level and district-level changes taking place as a result of the MBST. At the district level, I interviewed key people in the following areas: teaching and learning, district curriculum specialists, limited English proficiency, special education, student services/minority populations, and research and evaluation. At the school level, I interviewed principals, counselors, and/or teachers (this varied by site).

The focus of the study included: (1) interviewees' perceptions of how the district or schools had changed since the introduction of the Minnesota Basic Standards Test (MBST); (2) current and/or future responses (or changes) made as a result of the MBST; and (3) needs at the building and/or district level.

Interviewees were asked about the specific responses that have occurred in their district or their schools. For example, they were asked about changes in school-day and school-year restructuring efforts (e.g., adding after-school or summer school programs), changes in school organization and administrative practices (e.g.,

changes in course offerings, altering of student placement policies), and remediation opportunities. For each specific response mentioned, follow-up questions were asked in order to gain further understanding of the response, such as (1) what remediation opportunities are offered? (2) who qualifies for those opportunities? (3) how are students selected for remediation opportunities? and (4) when is remediation provided (i.e., during regular school hours, in after-school or summer school programs)?.

# Data Analysis

This study was conducted for two different purposes. From an educational policy perspective, it is important to document what schools and districts are doing to improve results (as discussed above). From a district perspective, it is important to document what is being done because of concerns over "opportunity to learn" issues and for district or school planning purposes.

For each school or district department, the data were summarized on a variety of dimensions, which included:

- general information about a program or school;
- responses specific to the subjects areas of reading, mathematics, and writing;
- summer school, after-school, or tutoring opportunities;
- communication to parents;
- staff development:
- · tools or assessments used; and
- identified needs.

Each school and district department received a copy of the matrix developed for that specific school or area; they also received the matrices developed for all other schools and district departments. I developed a list of general findings based on the information contained in the matrices and comments made by interviewees. ! present a summary of the matrices and general findings in the next section.

# Findings

Part of conducting a "good" qualitative study is sorting through the multitude of data obtained and organizing it in such a way as to be useful and meaningful for your audience. Clearly, summary tables of all of the responses for each school and district department were useful and meaningful for the school district, especially for "opportunity to learn" reporting. However, reporting the information in these same tables to an audience more interested in the implications of a policy for schools, districts, and their administrators would certainly be less meaningful to that audience. Thus, I am going to combine the "results" and "interpretation" sections, in order to try to make the findings more meaningful.

The theory of action presented above is a useful framework within which to consider the responses of this school district to Minnesota's externally-mandated, high-stakes testing policy. Fitting the information obtained in the study into the theory of action proposed in Figure 1 allows us to investigate whether the assumptions about what will occur in schools and districts are warranted. That is, using the information obtained here, we can analyze what actually happens in schools versus what was expected to happen, based on the theory of action.

# Testing Policy Helps Schools identify Students Having Trouble in Reading and/or Math

In general, the educators in this district felt that one of the most positive aspects of the adoption and implementation of Minnesota's basic standards testing policy is that it has served to highlight the needs of some students, who otherwise may have "slipped through the cracks." Although some of the educators interviewed had been concerned about these at-risk students all along, they attributed the increased attention that all educators were giving to the development of skills in these students to the adoption of the basic standards testing policy. The LEP (limited English proficiency) coordinator told how "before this test the kids

could breeze through classes, get credits, and graduate with very minimal proficiency in English." He felt that with the adoption of the testing policy, which requires that LEP students pass the test, more attention was being paid on helping these students increase their reading and math proficiency.

One principal commented that it has forced them to "look more at remediation than in years past." He said they now "look at the skills students must have and design courses appropriately." A middle school principal explained how his math and reading and language arts departments use the information from the district-level achievement test to "see where the holes are, the deficiencies and then work with those students in seventh and eighth grade." One of the district administrators emphasized that there has been "an increased focus on reading and literacy in the elementary schools" and that "many elementary schools are adding reading specialists to their staff." The district curriculum specialist in reading remarked that this policy has forced the district to focus on the needs of certain students, as well as on the importance of reading in general for all students.

# Testing Policy Forces Schools to Address Remediation Needs

Stecher and Barron's (1999) test-based accountability model suggests that schools will use information about school performance on the high-stakes test to make changes that will "improve the services they provide and enhance student outcomes" (no page given). Minnesota policymakers and the Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning state that "local districts offer a variety of services to students who do not pass the tests" (http://www.educ.state.mn.us) and that "the results of these tests can help school districts make decisions about what and how they teach" (http://www.educ.state.mn.us). These ideas were incorporated into the specific theory of action model proposed above; that is, the testing policy will force schools to offer a variety of services for students who need remedial work (i.e., they are at-risk of not passing or have not passed the MBST).

Tables 1-4 show that the district, the high schools, and the middle schools have responded to the needs of students (particularly those students who have not passed the MBST or who are at risk of not passing the test in 8th grade) in a variety of ways.

# Insert Tables 1-4 here

Table 1 demonstrates the activity that was generated at the high school level in order to respond to the needs of students who have not passed the reading and/or math portions of the MBST. During the regular school day, students can practice math skills with a teacher in the math resource room or use a tutorial program available in the computer learning center. Remedial reading and math classes have also been developed.

Table 2 provides a summary of the responses that the middle schools have made to the MBST. A site-based summer school offering student-specific remediation is available for students who have been identified as needing extra help in reading and/or math. Remedia: reading classes are offered to seventh and eighth grade students; however, students who enroll in the remedial classes must then miss out on some other elective class (i.e., health, social studies, keyboarding, or a foreign language, depending on the grade-level of the student and the individual middle school). Although many of the responses at the middle school level are to support students at-risk of failing (i.e., students identified as needing remedial opportunities), the middle schools have increased their focus on the basic skills in reading and math for all students. For example, the middle schools are sponsoring book fairs several times a year, reading contests throughout the year, and so forth.

Tables 3 and 4 show how the district math and reading curriculum departments have responded to the needs of students and teachers in the district. The district curriculum specialists describe their roles as "resource" people; that is, they do not dictate school and/or teacher practices, rather they offer information and support. For example, the district math curriculum specialist worked with other educators in the district to create readiness packages for students in grades 2-7 and remediation packages for students who have not passed the test (see Table 3). These packages contain practice tests, worksheets, and useful math vocabulary. Math resource notebooks have also been created for teachers that include the learner outcomes for each grade. research-based information on best practice, supplemental worksheets, and pretests. Table 4 shows that the district reading curriculum specialist has worked with middle and high school teachers to develop remedial courses (offered either during the school day or during summer school).

The data obtained in this study indicate that the schools and district have largely utilized familiar models of student grouping (i.e., put all the students having trouble into one class and try to remediate them), remediation ("more of the same"), and test-preparation techniques (drill and skill, practice tests)—at least for the students at-risk of not passing the MBST. Many of the educators in this district expressed frustration over the need for more information about "best practices" regarding, for example, how to teach reading to older students or to second language learners still struggling with reading. Several principals stated that they would like to hire more reading specialists who could provide staff development on how best to help older readers and second language learners, but they have found it very difficult to find people qualified for these positions. Several people were concerned that without this information on "best practices" in reading, the remedial classes may offer only "more of the same" approaches to remediation (which one could argue are not working, since the students are still struggling with reading at 7th, 8th, and 9th grade).

The educators acknowledged, however, that the pressure to help students pass the test (so that they can graduate from high school) makes it difficult to (feel that there is time to) try new, less researched techniques (not to mention the accountability pressure of test scores decreasing within the district). Stecher and Barron (1999) found that "given limited time and resources, schools often direct their attention more narrowly to practices that will enhance student performance on the tests. This is one way in which the discrepancy between broad goals and specific measures may reduce the effectiveness of a test-based accountability system" (no page numbers provided). The evidence in this pilot study suggests that contention; that is, although the tables clearly demonstrate that this district has responded with a large number of actions, many wish that these actions were coupled with more information on "best practices."

# Testing Policy Brings Greater Curricular Coherence to the System

In both reading and mathematics, the district has taken steps to bring greater curricular coherence to the system. Table 3 shows that the district has adopted a new math curriculum for the middle schools and high schools. This new curriculum "reinforces the eight strands of the MBST math portion"; thus, the district math curriculum is not only aligned from middle school to high school, but it is also aligned with the state test. Table 4 shows that the district has adopted a new K-6 reading series that all elementary schools began using in the fall of 1999. Although there is now greater curricular coherence in the system, one middle school principal felt that even more should be done ... create common curricula and instructional practices within the district. This particular principal believes that "site-based decision-making has made things too horizontal" and that 80% of what is done should be common across schools. Others commented on the need to continue working on K-12 alignment of the curriculum.

One area in which the educators in this district felt that more discussions were needed regarding curricular coherence was at the intersection of mainstream, LEP, and special education curriculum, particularly with regard to remedial coursework. The mainstream perspective on remedial classes is that the LEP and special education students would receive remediation in their special education or LEP classes and, thus, would not need to be included in mainstream remedial classes. The special education and LEP perspective is that the money and decisions are in the hands of mainstream educators, and yet their students do need to be included in "mainstream" remedial classes; thus, these groups often felt left out of the discussions about remedial opportunities.

# Testing Policy Stimulates the Use of Information for Program/School Improvement

The Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning states that the test results will be used to "generate information for school improvement." As one educator noted, Minnesota's testing policy does force districts to "look at student achievement." "We need to know that our students are achieving at a certain level; students need to graduate with at least those competencies measured by the test." Thus, the testing policy "has clear implications for building improvement plans." In fact, the district's strategic plan was described as "a tool that creates alignment between teacher plans and building plans, building plans and district plans, district plans and state plans...to develop a strategic plan at any level, one must specify the following components: goal i.e., what do you want to accomplish?), indicators of goal accomplishment, and ways in which the district can provide support."

The Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning also states that the test results will "allow for identification of programming and strategies that work." However, the principals in this district recognized a need for improved uses of data to inform decisions. They still found themselves responding to the needs versus using data to plan interventions. They were not using data to determine which interventions were working (or not) or which ones were working for some students and not for others. The educators expressed the desire to use data to determine skill areas where students are lacking so that they could design appropriate courses and interventions and use data to determine which interventions are working; however, they did not feel that they had the knowledge or capacity to do that (yet). Thus, although CFL claims that districts and schools will use the information from the tests to make changes and plan interventions, it may not be the case that schools are able to do that effectively. The district research, evaluation and assessment specialist in this district is trying to help schools use data—Table 5 clearly shows that this school district is trying to help its principals and teachers use data to make programming decisions at the individual student level, as well as at the building level.

# Insert Table 5 here

In sum nary, the types of activities and processes happening at the school- and district-level fit the types of activities and processes that were expected, according to the theory of action model, to happen at the schooland district-levels as a result of Minnesota's externa "/-mandated, high-stakes testing policy. However, it is important to note that another assumption of most accountability models is that schools and districts have the technology, resources, and people to make necessary changes. What is evident from this study is that the schools and district are making the changes for which they have the technology, resources, and people, but have noted the types of changes they would like to make-given access to different, or more, technology, resources, and people.

### Conclusions

Hargreaves (as cited in Wells, Hirshberg, Lipton, & Oakes, 1995) notes that studies usually have a defined unit of analysis and tend to consider either the macro or micro view. Hargreaves "calls upon educational researchers to focus on the middle range between the ethnographic study of classrooms and the large macroor societal-level research and theory" (p. 21); thus, we do need more research on the processes at the middle range, such as studies that focus on the school-level, as this study did.

Studies are also needed that follow a macro policy through to its micro implementation, so that we can better understand what happens at all levels of implementation. By carefully delineating the theory of action at the policy-setting level (i.e., the macro level) in terms of what is expected to happen at the implementation level, it would be possible to investigate what actually happens for each component of that theory of action at the implementation-level. One advantage of examining both the macro and micro level in one study is that discrepancies between these levels could be highlighted. For example, in this study, the educators at the micro level stated a need for more reading specialists with knowledge of "best practices" as a result of the macro-level state testing policy; however, the state is currently revising the skill and knowledge requirements for reading licensure in the state and they are decreasing the requirements. This also suggests that examining the interaction of a variety of policy initiatives and their affect on the system is important, because this could, for example, create a tension at the implementation level between two macro-level policy initiatives.

Figure 1 School-Level Theory of Action for Minnesota's Externally-Mandated, High-Stakes Testing Policy

Testing Policy Helps Schools Identify Students Having Trouble in Reading and/or Math Improved Student Achievement Accountability

Testing Policy Forces Schools to Address Remediation Needs

K

Mandated, High-Stakes Testing Policy

Minnesota's

Externally-

Testing Policy Brings Greater Curricular Coherence to the System

7

1

K

\<del>\_\_\_\_\_</del>

Testing Policy Stimulates the Use of Information for Program/School Improvement

Table 1 Summary of One School District's Responses to the MBST at High School A

				SUMMER SCHOOL/AFTER	
	PROCEAM IN CENERAL	DEADING		SCHOOL/1010KING OPPORTUNITIES	
	ו וואמויאנו ווו מרוובויאר	-	MAINEMAIICS		NEEDS
	1. Counsciors meet with	. Strategies for Keading"	<ol> <li>Math Resource Room</li> </ol>	1. District offers one-on-one	1. Math and English
HIGH SCHOOL	any 11th grader who hasn't	<ul> <li>Remedial reading course</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students can use this</li> </ul>	tutoring for seniors who have not	
	passed the MBST	Uses Accelerated Reader Program (a	room during any free	passed the MBST	FIFE More commended
	A district ILP form is	computer-based reading program)	hours		needed to well
	completed	Offered in Grades 10-12, aimed at 11th	. Offers a chance to	2 District offers summer school	וופפתפת שם אפון.
Note that all	Counselors explain the	graders who have not passed the MRST	practice math ckills with	classes formed on preside the	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
remediation	importance of passing	- (an receive 1 English gradit (but need 2)	presence man solils will	Meet 4	2. The district provides
onnortunities	the test and	Was not solve to be affected took about		Fibbli for students who have not	math remediation packets,
בשווווים האלים היים	חום ופאן שווח	• was not going to be offered 1999-2000	<ul> <li>District math packets are</li> </ul>	passed the MBST:	but the schools must Xerox
are voluntary.	remediation options	due to low enrollment; however, an English	available for use	Both a reading and math course	them for the students.
	that are available and	teacher has offered to teach this class in	<ul> <li>No course credit for</li> </ul>	are offered	This becomes a financial
	registers the student	place of a supervisory duty each semester	doing this	Course offers a basic skills and	issue for the schools
	for those			test preparation focus	
	<ul> <li>Parents receive a copy</li> </ul>	2. One special section of 9th grade English	2. Math Class	-	A More remedial
	of the ILP	Offered to 5 <sup>st</sup> grade students who have not	A remedial math class	2   samina   sh	
	Joseph along also chack	maccard the MRCT		J. cearming Lan	reading opportunities for
	all action the dark	Att The Most	was offered, but it has	<ul> <li>Available before, during, and</li> </ul>	students; for example,
	all senior student	<ul> <li>Although this class is voluntary, students</li> </ul>	been cancelled due to	after school	"Strategies for Reading"
	records before the start	are placed in this course unless parents	low enrollment and the	<ul> <li>Part of the Computer</li> </ul>	should be a year-long
	of school for MBS	object	need for more FTE's	Learning Center	course.
	pass/fail status, # of	<ul> <li>Considerable focus on reading and reading</li> </ul>		No course credit, but	
	credits, etc.	skills remediation within the regular 9th	3. Pre-algebra math class	supervised by a teacher	4. Need to combine
		grade English curriculum	<ul> <li>Basic skills review</li> </ul>	Tutorials available in math	reading/writing emphasis
	2. Counselors meet with	<ul> <li>Uses Accelerated Reader Program (a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students spend lanuary</li> </ul>	and reading	
	any 12th grader who hasn't	computer-based reading program)	reviewing for the MBST		5. Possibly, district
	passed the MBST and	<ul> <li>Students receive English credit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>District remediation</li> </ul>	4. ALC (Area Leaming Center)	should require that
	complete another ILP.	Will no longer be offered because	packets are used	Available after school	students take advantage of
		enrollment for that course was 22 students;	<ul> <li>Students take practice</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Part of the Computer Learning</li> </ul>	remediation opportunities
	3. 9th and 10th graders	thus, it increased the class sizes of the	tests in district math	Center	that are offered; currently.
	who have not passed the	other 9th grade English classes (so	packets and on State's		all remediation
	MBST meet with counselors	students who failed the MBST will be placed	website		opportunities are
	to register for summer	in heterogeneous 9th grade English classes			voluntary
	school.	and will not receive remediation in their			
		English classes). (This is due in part to the			
		need for more FIES.			
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Table 2 Summary of One School District's Responses to the MBST at Middle School B

	PROGRAM IN GENERAL	READING	MATHEMATICS	SUMMER SCHOOL/ AFTER SCHOOL/TITORING	NEEDS	
MIDDLE SCHOOL	1. Changed home base	CCC Lab-"It's old, but we work that	District packets	Site-based summer school	1 Evend the CC Lab (fam.	-T-
	structure—it is now an advisory	lab."	given to students with	Remediation focus	30 to 601	
	period.	6th graders use the lab as part of their	prefests, practice tests	. Cater to individual panels	2 Hira sperior to be a	
	Tuesday, Thursday: Silent	day; 8th grade reading teacher uses	vocabillary information	(etudent-energie	E. Tille a pelsoli to be oil	
Note that all	reading (students can bring	the lab 3 days/week: 7th grade teacher		(Statesia-special	special assignment to work with	
remediation	whatever they want to read)	uses it 2 days/week: Special ed uses	(CC Lab. can practice	i America comment	leachers, students, and parents	
opportunities are	Wednesday: Practice tests	the lab every day	moth hotors and other	• August summer school	(e.g., host special classes for	
voluntary.	geared to MBST		main perole and area	orrered to students from	parents)	-
	Monday: Focis on math	40.000	school	tecuer schools who need	<ol><li>More reading and math</li></ol>	
	Friday: Social day	Negating Lab		help on reading and math	contests (with rewards for	
The district is	Chronizate chidants to Land	New III 30-33		(so dan't come in too	students)	-
Leening the	2. Cilicourage students to have	• computer-pased		behind}	4. Home involvement	_
Account the	ilorary cards and to go to the	Used with 8th graders after school and			« More parent groups	
enronment	library.	in the "school within a school"		ALC program (after-school)	Need more communication—	_
classes offered in	3. Language Arts			Students must meet ALC	cutteach activities in homes	
summer school,	Department—reading is	Remedial Reading Class		criteria to participate	churchen etc	
but middle	becoming part of their	• For 6th and 7th graders, scores on the		Students can use the CC	כומוכוופא פוכי	
schools are	curriculum.	District Achievement Test are used to		ב אוני מוני מוני מוני ביני		
offering site-	4 "Pen talks" by principal	determine placement				
haced remediation	material Officer A view	Coloning placement.		Students can work on		_
מפפת ובווובתושווסוו	meets with of graders 4 times/	Students in grade / who take this		reading and math skills		
classes.	year, stresses the importance of	course miss out on keyboarding or		geared toward the MBST		
	being serious in their daily work	health		Students can work on		
	habits because that will help	<ul> <li>Students in grade 8 who take this</li> </ul>		homework		
	them pass the test.	course miss out on foreign language		Students can work on		
	5. Breakfast is served on test	)		study skills		
	administration days.	District adoption of K-6 reading series		Franchaset onnotherities		
	6. The school newsletter			- Filliching obbollumies		
	stresses the importance of	Summer/Winter Break reading		CCC Lab		
	reading (for students and	program—encourage students (and		• Students can use it before		
	parents). During the summer	parents) to read.		or after school		
	months, students are			• 8th graders use it for		
	encouraged to keep reading.	A Book Fair is held two times/year at the		practice during the month		
	7. Language arts and math	school.		of language		_
	teachers met with parents of 8th			A railed of		
	graders and talked about how	Reading contests held during the year-				
	they can help get their kids	prizes are awarded (e.g., throw a pie in				
	ready for the test.	the principal's face).				
·						

Table 3 Summary of Responses to the MBST at the District Level for Mathematics in One District

Table 4 Summary of Responses to the MBST at the District Level for Reading in One District

NFFDS	More district focus on the middle schools, both in terms of staff development and materials.  More staff development and materials.  More staff development of staff development time is needed so that teachers can talk about, and reflect on, their practice.  In conjunction with that, more knowledge is needed about "best practices."  Continue focusing on reading and literacy.	
COMMUNICATION TO PARENTS	District Initiatives, in general (not just reading):  • Communication to parents about the test (when it is administered, the importance of passing, and so forth)  • Communicate results to parents and information about opportunities for remediation (such as summer school)	
NEW COURSE OFFERINGS/ TUTOR:NG OPPORTUNITIES	District supports one-on-one tutoring for seniors who have not passed the MBST.  SUMMER SCHOOL: Classes are offered that focus on strategies for passing the MBST. Middle schools offer a centralized summer school program run by the district. Middle Schools provide extended-day opportunities through their Area Learning Centers (ALCs).  High Schools have offered a remedial reading course	
STAFF DEVELOPMENT	1. District-led staff inservicing was conducted previously, but no required district-led inservices are currently planned.  2. Inservicing is planned at the building-level, and the district staff works to support building ideas.  3. Harcourt-Brace will provide additional staff development options.  4. District-wide literacy leader position funded by GOALS 2000	
T00LS	Reading Series  New K-6 Reading Series (will be used beginning in Fall 1999)  K-2 Early Literacy Assessment  Student assessment to be administered by teachers fall, winter, and sping  Ready for use in Fall '99	
TESTS/ASSESSMENTS	District Achievement Level Test, administered in Grades 3-7  • Used to predict if a student will have difficulty passing the MBST in 8th grade MN Comprehensive Assessment, administered in Grades 3 and 5  • Used to predict if a student will have difficulty passing the MBST in 8th grade	
	BISTRICT READING Apote that all remediation opportunities are voluntary. Note that district funds were made available to create the Research and Evaluation position.	

• • •\!

53

# Table 5 Summary of Responses to the MBST at the District Level for the Research, Evaluation and Assessment Department in One District

EVALUATION AND for seniors who have not passed by the January/February testing.  • Notity parents of students who fail the test and alert them of remediation options consequences of not passing.  • Communicate to parents salient factor related to tests at grades 3, 5, 8, and 10:  • Test purpose  • Test purpose  • Report achievement results to System Accountability members.  • Continuously update the <u>Student Promodule</u> of SASI so that individual test his immediately available at the building.  • Assist building teams with school improvement.	eport the ons and ctors 10:	B	Statewide testing opportunity for all students who have not yet passed or who were absent.     Arrange tutoring with ABE (Adult Basic Education) students for whom diplomas are withheld.     District provides one-on-one tutoring for seniors who have not passed the MBST before the April test.	regarding:  Evaluation of programs/processes  Needs assessment  Development of measurement tools (i.e., surveys, focus groups)  Understanding purposes for various assessments  Program design/development
• 0 6 2 0 0 1	eport the ons and ctors 10:	rands" ual and ee ee nath).	opportunity for all students who have not yet passed or who were absent.  Arrange tutoring with ABE (Adult Basic Education) students for whom diplomas are withheld.  District provides one- on-one tutoring for seniors who have not passed the MRST before the April test.	regarding:  Evaluation of programs/processes  Needs assessment  Development of measurement tools (i.e., surveys, focus groups)  Understanding purposes for various assessments  Program design/development
Notify parents of statest and alert them of reconsequences of not pass consequences of not pass related to tests at grade     Test purpose     Test purpose     Report achievement Accountability members     Continuously upda module of SASI so that immediately available immediately available achievement.			ABE (Adult Basic Education) students for whom diplomas are withheld.  District provides one- on-one tutoring for seniors who have not passed the MRST before the April test.	Development of measurement tools (i.e., surveys, focus groups)     Understanding purposes for various assessments     Program design/development
Consequences of not pare related to tests at grade Test purpose Test purpose Test preparation  Report achievement Accountability members  Continuously upda module of SASI so that immediately available immediately available achievement.			students for whom diplomas are withheld.  District provides one- on-one tutoring for seniors who have not passed the MRST before the April test.	Understanding purposes for various assessments     Program design/development
e Communicate to parelated to tests at grade e Test purpose e Test preparation e Report achievement Accountability members module of SASI so that immediately available in module e Assist building te improvement.	2 E	<ul> <li>Grade 7: Differential Aptitude Test.</li> <li>Reading: "Verbal Reasoning" subtest sometimes used to help with placement decisions.</li> </ul>	District provides one- on-one tutoring for seniors who have not passed the MRST before the April test.	
Report achievemer Accountability members  Continuously upda module of SASI so that immediately available in Assist building te improvement planning	ement results to System ibers.	decisions.	MRST before the April test.	
Continuously upda module of SASI so that immediately available immediately available immediately available immediately available achievement.		<ul> <li>Math: "Numerical Reasoning" and "Abstract Reasoning" subtests sometimes used to help</li> </ul>		
Assist building te improv~ment planning achievement.	<ul> <li>Continuously update the <u>Student Profiles</u> module of SASI so that individual test history is immediately available at the building.</li> </ul>	with placement decisions.     Grade 8: MBST. State tests in reading and math—provide scores, score category, and scores on		
achievement.	<ul> <li>Assist building teams with school improvment planning related to academic</li> </ul>	individual "strands" of the test useful for diagnosing students' strengths/weaknesses.		
<ul> <li>Assist building ac parents with analysis data.</li> </ul>	achievement.  Assist building administration, staff and parents with analysis and interpretation of data.	• Grade 10: All students administered an assessment that includes measures of English, reading and math achievement. Some grade 10 students take the PSATbut scores are not reported centrally.		
Develop and condi- adopted reading series.	Develop and conduct evaluation of newly oted reading series.	Grades 3-7: Quick testing on-line for students new to the district and lacking achievement data—used for placement.		
·				